

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/606,909	06/26/2003	Michael E. Leckrone	P0008030.03	5688
27581 7590 07/09/2008 MEDTRONIC, INC. 710 MEDTRONIC PARKWAY NE			EXAMINER	
			EREZO, DARWIN P	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55432-9924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3773	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/606,909 LECKRONE ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Darwin P. Erezo 3773 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 February 2008. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 39-41 and 43-45 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 40 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 39.41 and 43-45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date ______.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/606,909 Page 2

Art Unit: 3773

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments, see page 3, filed 2/11/08, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 39, 41 and 43-45 under 35 USC 103 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is provided below.
- The obviousness-type double patenting rejections have also been withdrawn in view of the applicant's arguments, see page 2 of the remarks filed on 2/11/08.
- Claim 40 remains withdrawn from consideration.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number: 10/606,909 Art Unit: 3773

 Claims 39, 41 and 43-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 5,672,158 to Okada et al. in view of US 3,645,562 to Fandetti et al. and in further view of US 1,738,996 to Hamilton et al.

Okada discloses an apparatus capable of delivering fluid device comprising: an elongated outer tubular body 33 having a lumen with an inner surface provided with an inwardly directed projection forming a ring 39; an elongated inner tubular member 32 coaxially nested within the lumen of the outer tubular body and having an outer surface provided with outwardly directed projections forming a ring (at 40); wherein the inwardly directed projection form a locking mechanism; wherein a fluid delivery catheter is slidably located to the inner tubular member (see Fig. 9); wherein the device is capable of providing access to a pericardial space.

Okada is silent with regards to the inwardly directed projections of the elongated outer tubular body being spaced apart from one another along the circumference of the lumen; the outwardly directed projections of the elongated inner tubular body being spaced apart from one another along the circumference of the lumen; the interlocking engagement between the inwardly directed projections and the outwardly directed projections (forming the locking mechanism); wherein the elongated outer and inner tubular body have a frustoconical shape; wherein the projections from are spaced at 120 degrees from one another; and wherein a plurality of rings are provided.

However, the recited locking mechanism is well known in the coupling art. For example, Fandetti discloses a device for coupling two tubular devices, the device comprising an elongated outer tubular body 10 having a lumen with an inner surface

Application/Control Number: 10/606,909

Art Unit: 3773

provided with an inwardly directed projections being spaced apart from one another along the circumference of the lumen (Fig. 2); an elongated inner tubular body 11 coaxially nested within the lumen of the outer tubular body and having an outer surface provided with outwardly directed projections being spaced apart from one another along the circumference of the lumen (Fig. 4); wherein the inwardly directed projection and the outwardly directed projection interlock to form a locking mechanism to prevent longitudinal movement of the inner tubular body within the lumen of the outer tubular body; and wherein a fluid delivery catheter is slidably located to the inner tubular body (see Fig. 9). The device of Fandetti allows for a quick connect/disconnect between the inner and outer tubular body.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the locking mechanism of Okada to have the structure taught by Fandetti because it would provide for a quick connect/disconnect means between the two tubular bodies. Furthermore, it would be obvious to substitute the locking mechanism of Okada with the locking mechanism of Fandetti because it has been held that a simple substitution for one known element for another will obtain predictable results and would be obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 127 S.Ct. 1727, 1742, 82 USPQ2d 1385, 1396 (2007).

The modified device of Okada still fails to disclose the first flange of the inner tubular member being frustoconical. However, the use of frustoconical flanges in tubular connectors are well known in the art, as disclosed by Hamilton et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

Application/Control Number: 10/606,909

Art Unit: 3773

was made to modify the device of Okada to have frustoconical flanges because the use of connectors having frustoconical shape is well known in the art. Furthermore, it has been held that changing the shape of a working part involves only routine skill in the art. In re Dailey; 357 F.2d 669, 149 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966).

With regards to the projections being spaced at 120 degrees from one another, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to modify the projections to be spaced at 120 degrees from one another since applicant has not disclosed that the projections being spaced apart 120 degrees solves any stated problem or is used for any particular purpose. Furthermore, one of ordinary skill in the art would have expected Okada's apparatus to perform equally as well with the projections at 120 degrees. Again, it is noted that limitations in the claim which presents no novel or unexpected result over a similar feature used in the prior art references, and solved no stated problem, were held to be an obvious matter of design choice within the skill of the art. In re Kuhle, 526 F2d 523; 188 USPQ 7 (CCPA 1975). In re Gazda,-42 CCPA 770; 219 F2d 449; 104 USPQ 400 (1955). In re Launder, 42 CCPA 886; 222 F2d 371; 10 USPQ 446 (1955).

With regards to the apparatus having a plurality of rings, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the apparatus to have a plurality of rings instead of a single ring since it has been held that mere duplication of the essential working parts of a device involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Harza*, 274 F.2d, 669, 124 USPQ 378 (CCPA 1960).

Art Unit: 3773

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Darwin P. Erezo whose telephone number is (571)272-4695. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-4:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jackie Ho can be reached on (571) 272-4696. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Darwin P. Erezo/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3773